

UNITED STATES D ARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 229-532PCT

09/194,112

11/23/98

ONUKI

QM12/1213

002292 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH

P 0 BOX 747

FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

BLAU, S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3711

DATE MAILED:

12/13/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/194,112

Applicant(s)

Onuki

Examiner

Stephen Blau

Group Art Unit 3711



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 2, 1999	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is see is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failula application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is approved disapproved. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been umber) ne International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)	
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-5 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peker.

Peker discloses a golf club head having a hitting face formed of a metallic amorphous metal, an amorphous alloy of zirconium base, Ni, Al, Cu, Hf, tensile strength 1.9 GPa (194 kgf/mm^2) and compositions in atomic percentages similar to tables 1-3 (Col. 4 Lns. 12-43). Clearly the hitting face material has a Young's modulus and a hardness and one skilled in the art in manufacturing a face would have selected a composition having a suitable hardness and Young's modulus in which a hardness and Young's modulus which meets the relationships in claim 1 and 5 are included.

The difference between the claims and Peker is that Peker does not disclose a relationship between Young's modulus and tensile strength as defined by claim 1 and a relationship of Young' modulus and hardness as defined by claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/194,112

Page 3

Art Unit:

It would have been obvious to modify the face of Peker to have a Young's modulus less than 22,333 kgf/mm^2 in order to minimize the cost of forming a face. In addition, it would have been obvious to have a hardness which meets the relationship of claim 5 in order to have a face which maximizes the transfer of energy to a ball at impact.

Drawings

3. The drawings of this application have not been reviewed by the Draftsman. Upon allowance of this case the drawings will be evaluated under 37 CFR 1.81.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP-59-228874 and JP-60-7873 contain a head having Ni, Cu, Zr, Zn, or Al. Yamaguchi discloses a head having a Young's Modulus 21,000 kg/mm^2. Masaki discloses a head having a Young's modulus and a Vickers hardness.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can

Art Unit:

contact his supervisor Jeanette Chapman whose telephone number is (703) 308-1310. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

slb/ 8 December 1999

JEANETTE CHAPMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER